Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/732,827	PRZEPASNIAK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ginger T. Chapman	3761
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Ginger T. Chapman</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Neil Batavia</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>13 January 2009</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 2, 4-5, 7-9, 12 and 14		
1, 2, 4-0, 1-3, 12 and 14		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		> P. () () () ()
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Arguments set forth in appeal brief file date 10/29/2009 with respect to materials, claimed configuration of materials in article, limits, use of trademark term in claims; authorization for examiner's amendment.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		